Application No. 09/971,029
Amendment dated August 15, 2005

After Final Office Action of May 16, 2005

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully requested.

Docket No.: 1163-0360P

Claims 1-11 remain pending, claims 1, 10, and 11 being independent.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicants filed an Information Disclosure Statement on September 10, 2003, and

respectfully request that the Examiner return an initialed copy of the form PTO-1449 attached

thereto.

Prior Art Rejection

Claims 1-11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as allegedly being anticipated by Perkes

(U.S. Patent 6,373,503). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Independent claim 1 is directed to a digital broadcast receiving device. The device of

claim 1 comprises: a receiving unit, arranged in a computer, for receiving a high-definition

television picture of a digital broadcast signal and decoding the high-definition television picture;

and a selector, arranged in the computer, for selecting either the high-definition television picture

decoded by the receiving unit or an output picture of the computer, such that the selected high-

definition television picture or the selected output picture is output for display, wherein the

selector receives the output picture of the computer via a first path and receives the high-

definition television picture via a second path, which by-passes a peripheral component

interconnect (PCI) bus of the computer.

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

Application No. 09/971,029

Amendment dated August 15, 2005

After Final Office Action of May 16, 2005

Therefore, claim 1 specifies that a selector of the computer, which selects either a high-

Docket No.: 1163-0360P

definition television picture decoded by a receiving unit or an output picture of the computer,

receives the high-definition television picture via a path that by-passes a peripheral component

interconnect bus of the computer. This arrangement has the advantage of preventing overflow

due to the increased data content of high-definition television pictures so that such pictures can

be displayed in real time. See e.g., page 10, lines 6-15 of the specification.

In maintaining the rejection based on the teachings of Perkes, the Examiner cites column

2, lines 15-21 as allegedly teaching the selector element/function of claim 1. This cited portion

of Perkes, however, merely discloses that a monitor 20 of a system disclosed therein has multiple

inputs, i.e., a computer input 620 and a TV input 630. Applicants submit that this multi-input

monitor does not teach or suggest that a computer of the multimedia system of Perkes includes a

selector as claimed.

According to MPEP § 2131, "a claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set

forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art

reference." Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051

(Fed. Cir. 1987). "The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in

the ... claims." Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co., 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913 (Fed.

Cir. 1989).

At least in view of the above, Applicants respectfully submit that Perkes fails to

anticipate claim 1 or any claim depending therefrom. Furthermore, independent claims 10 and

11 distinguish over Perkes based on similar reasoning.

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

Application No. 09/971,029

Amendment dated August 15, 2005

After Final Office Action of May 16, 2005

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdraw of the

Examiner's rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

Conclusion

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone

number below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with

the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies,

to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional

fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: August 15, 2005

Respectfully

D. Richard Anderson

Registration No.: 40,439

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Docket No.: 1163-0360P

8110 Gatehouse Rd

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP